

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office of Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,643	07/26/2001	Makoto Ikeda	12052.44US01	7982	
	590 06/17/2003				
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLI	is, MN 55402-0903		LEE, PAT	RICK J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2878		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No	malicant/a)	- AX
	•			Applicant(s)	2 (
	Office Action Summary	09/915,64	3	IKEDA, MAKOTO	
•	omoc Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	The MAU INC DATE of this communication	Patrick J. L		2878	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover she	eet with the correspondence ad	dress
I HE - External after af	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by street to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by street to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by street to reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply alternative adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no ever n. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, roory minimum expire SIX (6	may a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely  one ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	r. mmunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 May 2003 .			
2a) <u></u> □		This action is	non-final.		
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice union of Claims	llowance except	for forma	ll matters, prosecution as to the 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applica	ation.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		sideratior	1.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•	
	Claim(s) 1-8 and 10 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	guiremen	t.	
	on Papers		,	•	
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 27 July 2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted o	· b)□ obje	ected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) t	e held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on $\_$	is: a) <u>□</u> ap	proved b)	disapproved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i	n reply to this Offi	ce action.		
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority und	er 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been	received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a	priority documer I Bureau (PCT R	ts have b	peen received in this National S	Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom				annlication\
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional app	lication ha	as been received.	appiioation).
ttachment				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(			view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(see of Informal Patent Application (PTO r:	
6. Patent and Tr		e Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 12	

Art Unit: 2878

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to amendment filed May 9<sup>th</sup>, 2003.
- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 1-3 & 10 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Fujimoto et al 6,259,082. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fujimoto et al 6,259,082.

Fujimoto et al disclose an image reading apparatus comprising of light guide (10, 10'), light source (2), image sensor chips (52), housing (4), and glass plate (70).

With respect to claim 1, Fujimoto et al disclose a light guide (10') as illustrated in figure 23. The light guide (10') is substantially ¼ oval with the end of the major axis side is chamfered, forming a surface (10B'), which has grooves (14) for scattering light (see column 7, lines 7-8). Surface (10C') is a reflecting curved plane for reflecting scattered light from the light scattering patterns towards emission plane represented by surface

Art Unit: 2878

(10A'), which is parallel to the minor axis of the oval. Surface (10D') is a plane parallel to the major axis direction of the oval.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-4 & 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimoto et al 6,259,082.

Fujimoto et al disclose the system behind the rejection of claim 1 above.

With respect to claim 2, Fujimoto et al does not explicitly disclose that surface (10D') is chamfered so that it includes a focal position, but such is known and would have been obvious in order for the light guide to achieve the ¼ oval shape.

With respect to claim 3, Fujimoto et al disclose in the illustration as shown in figure 23 that there is a light-emitting device (2) disposed at the end of the bar-shaped light guide at surface (10B'). It is shown that surface (10A') is exposed for allowing light to be emitted, while the light guide (10') is disposed between housing portions (3A' & 3B'), shown in figure 24. While Fujimoto et al does not disclose the light guide (10') being disposed between housing portions (3A' & 3B'), such is known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to dispose the light guide in between, in order to illuminate scattered light onto the document.

Art Unit: 2878

With respect to claim 4, Fujimoto et al disclose the light guide section (10') and a glass cover (70) as a light condensing section located within close proximity as would be expected from the incorporation of the light guide illustrated in figure 23 into the device illustrated by figure 24. Light source (2) emits light into light guide section (10'). The light is scattered within the guide section (10'). While it is not explicitly disclosed that glass cover (70) is capable of condensing, such is known and would have been obvious to modify Fujimoto et al accordingly in order to allow as much light to be incident on the document as possible. This would result in improved image pickup ability of the device.

With respect to claim 10, Fujimoto et al disclose the system as described in the discussion of claims 1 & 3. Fujimoto et al also disclose a lens array (51) responsible for focusing light reflected from the document to an image sensor (52).

7. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimoto et al 6,259,082 in view of Yamashita 5,182,445.

Fujimoto et al disclose a system as described in the discussion of claim 4. However, Fujimoto et al does not disclose a light guide section and a light condensing section located in contact with each other. Such is known and taught by Yamashita. Yamashita in a contact type line image sensor device teaches the use of a lens (17) disposed next to a reflective surface (13) for condensing light onto a document (2). To modify the system taught by Fujimoto et al accordingly would improve the light condensing ability of the device by also augmenting to the light condensing ability of the glass plate (70) taught by Fujimoto et al.

Art Unit: 2878

With respect to claim 5, Yamashita discloses the reflecting surface (13) to be adjacent to the lens (17).

With respect to claim 6, Yamashita discloses the reflecting surface (13) to be an oval plane.

With respect to claim 7, Yamashita discloses housing (12, 12a) to be disposed about the light guiding section (21) and lens (17).

With respect to claim 8, the combination of teachings does not disclose a light guide section being provided with a light source and a reflecting means, but such is known and would have been obvious in order to obviate the need for a plurality of light emitting devices. Such would simplify the device significantly.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to claim 9, the combination of teachings by Fujimoto et al with those of Yamashita does not disclose nor suggest the gradual increase of light scattering patterns.

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2878

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tabata et al 6,333,779 disclose an illumination apparatus with a light guide.

Onishi et al 6,469,808 disclose an image reading apparatus.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick J. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 305-3871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-9558 for regular communications and (703) 306-5511 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

PJL

June 5, 2003

Patrick J. Lee Examiner Art Unit 2878

**DAVID PORTA** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800